PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
19457T							
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/yea	r) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/FI 2004/000461	22.07.2004	31.07.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	r national classification and IPC						
C22B3/00// C22B19:00							
Applicant							
Outokumpu OYJ et al							
Outonumpu oto et at							
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
3. This report is also accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprising:						
a (sent to the applicant)	a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3 sheets, as follows:						
	· ·						
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which s	supersede earlier sheets, but which this A	uthority considers contain an amendment that goes s filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the					
Supplemental		s filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. 1 and the					
b. (sent to the Internation	nal Bureau only) a total of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic							
form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
i <u>—</u>							
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-esta	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of							
·							
Box No. VII Certain o	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comple	etion of this report					
·							
23.05.2005		11.11.2005					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE	Authorized off	Authorized officer					
Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055							
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM		Hulthén/EŌ					
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88 Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (April 2005)							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FI 2004/000461

Box	No. I	Basis of the report					
1.	1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:						
	the international application in the language in which it was filed						
		a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
		international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4(a))					
		international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))					
2.	furnis	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
		the international application as originally filed/furnished					
	\boxtimes	the description:					
		10	as originally filed/furnished				
		pages* received by this Authority on _					
	\boxtimes	the claims:					
		pages	as originally filed/furnished				
		. 0	with any statement) under Article 19				
			23-03-2003				
		the drawings:	as originally filed/furnished				
		• •	as originally fried turnshed				
		pages* received by this Authority on					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to S	equence Listing.				
			:				
3.	ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, Nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):					
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as in 70.2(c)).	s report and listed below had not been dicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule				
		the description, pages	·				
		the claims, Nos.					
	the drawings, sheets/figs						
	the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):					
	If iten	n 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					

International application No.

PCT/FI 2004/000461

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	5, 8-11, 17-18 1-3, 6-7, 12-16, 19	YES NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	5. 8-11. 17-18 1-4. 6-7. 12-16. 19	YES NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-19	YES NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Amended claims 1-19 were filed on 23 May 2005.

Documents considered to be of particular relevance:

D1 US 4168970

D2 US 4383979

D3 US 4425228

D2 and D3 have been reconsidered not to be of particular relevance.

The invention relates to the separation of cobalt in conjunction with a zinc preparation process. A part of the sludge produced in the process is recycled to the metal separation reactor in order to improve metal precipitation.

Claims 1 and 13 of the application states that the sludge produced in the metal separation process is classified based on the "surface activity" of the sludge particles.

D1 shows a classification device (e.g. figure 3, cyclone 4) in which an overflow is forwarded to a third purification stage and the underflow is sent back to the first stage (column 6, lines 31-35). It is considered that the overflow is equivalent to the worse fraction according to the application and the underflow is equivalent to the better fraction according to the application. It is also considered that the different fractions have different "surface activities", and thus the separation device (cyclone 4) can be regarded as a device that conducts a separation based on"surface activity". underflow according to D1 also appears to have a similar purpose as the "better fraction" according to the application (e.g. D1, column 4, lines 50-55).

.../...

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FI 2004/000461

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box $\,V\,$

In absence of further clarifications claims 1 and 13 are considered to lack novelty in regard to D1.

Claims 2-3, 6-7, 12-16 and 19 lack novelty in regard to D1 (citations as above). Claim 4 lacks an inventive step in regard to D1 (citations as above).

Claims 5, 8-11 and 17-18 define embodiments that implicate that the classification mentioned in independent claims 1 and 13, is performed based on the granular size of the sludge particles. The claims are novel with respect to D1.

The stated differences imply improvements in the metal separation process by affecting the properties of the sludge. The embodiments defined in claims 5, 8-11 and 17-18 are considered to involve an inventive step.

The invention as defined by claims 1-19 is considered to fulfil the criteria of industrial applicability.

14 APPRECUPERATIO 3 0 JAN 2006

CLAIMS

'n

- 1. A method for processing a metal-bearing sludge in cobalt removal that is performed conjunction with zinc preparation characterised 5 in that the sludge produced in the metal separation process is classified based on the surface activity of sludge particles into a better and worse substance fraction, as the process concerned, and the worse fraction is removed from the process, and the better fraction is returned to the 10 process.
 - 2. The method as defined in claim 1, characterised in that the metal-bearing sludge is a product of a precipitation process.
- 3. The method as defined in claim 1 or 2, characterised in that the metal-bearing sludge is settled in a metal separation reactor prior to the classification.
- 4. The method as defined in any one of claims 20 1-3, characterised in that the solid matter content in the reactor is adjusted to be in the range 10 200 g/l.
- 5. The method as defined in any one of claims 1-4, characterised in that the classification is performed based on the granular size of the sludge particles by dividing the sludge into a coarser and finer fraction.
- 6. The method as defined in any one of claims 1-5, characterised in that the 30 classification is performed using a device based on the centrifugal force.
 - 7. The method as defined in claim 6, characterised in that the classification is performed using a hydrocyclone or a similar device.
- 8. The method as defined in any one of claims 1-7, characterised in that the underflow

of the classification device is a worse fraction from the standpoint of the process.

9. The method as defined in any one of claims 1-8, characterised in that the overflow of the classification device is a better fraction from the standpoint of the process.

5

10

- 10. The method as defined in any one of claims 1-9, characterised in that the fraction that is worse from the standpoint of the process contains mainly coarse fraction.
- 11. The method as defined in any one of claims 1-10, characterised in that the fraction that is better from the standpoint of the process contains mainly fine fraction.
- 12. The method as defined in any one of claims 1-11, characterised in that the classification is performed in batches or continuously.
- An apparatus for processing a metal-13. bearing sludge in cobalt removal that is performed in 20 conjunction with zinc preparation process including one or more metal separation reactors (11, feeding device (18) for introducing raw material into the metal separation reactor (11, 12) and a junction line (19) for removing the sludge produced in the 25 metal separation from the reactor (11,characterised in that the apparatus a classification device (14)which arranged in conjunction with the pipe extending from the metal separation reactor (11, 12) and which is 30 arranged for classifying the sludge (13) based on the surface activity of sludge particles into a better (15) and a worse (17) substance fraction, as process is concerned, and recycling means (15)returning the better substance fraction to the metal 35 separation reactor (11, 12), and means for removing the worse substance fraction (17) from the reactor.

16

14. The apparatus as defined in claim 13, characterised in that the classification device (14) is placed substantially in conjunction with the metal separation reactor (11, 12) for removing the sludge settled on the bottom from the bottom of the reactor (11, 12).

5

10

15. The apparatus as defined in claim 13 or 14, characterised in that the classification device (14) is based on the centrifugal force.

16. The apparatus as defined in claim 15, characterised in that the classification device (14) is a hydrocyclone or a similar device.

17. The apparatus as defined in any one of claims 13-16, characterised in that the classification device (14) is arranged to function in such a manner that the underflow (17) of the device is the worse fraction from the standpoint of the process.

18. The apparatus as defined in any one of claims 13-17, characterised in that the classification device (14) is arranged to function in such a manner that the overflow (15) of the device is the better fraction from the standpoint of the process.

19. The apparatus as defined in any one of claims 13-18, characterised in that the classification device (14) is arranged to function in batches or continuously.